REMARKS

Claims 1-7 are currently pending. According to the Office Action mailed May 21, 2004,

claims 1-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), and claim 4 stands

objected to because of an informality. As such, claim 4 has been amended as suggested by the

Examiner.

Claim Rejections over McConnell and Hawkins

1. Claims 1, 3 and 5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by

McConnell et al (McConnell), U.S. Patent No. 6,373,930.

2. Claim 2 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell

in view of Hawkins et al (Hawkins), U.S. Patent No. 6,516,202

3. Claim 4 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over McConnell

in view of well known prior art.

4. Claim 6 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawkins in

view of McConnell.

5. Claim 7 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawkins

and McConnell as applied to claim 6, and further in view of well known prior art.

All pending claims stand rejected either over McConnell, or over McConnell and

Hawkins. Applicants enclose a Declaration Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 with supporting

Exhibits along with the present response. The Declaration operates to swear behind all cited

references, i.e., McConnell and Hawkins. More specifically, the Declaration effectively

demonstrates that the presently claimed invention was conceived in the United States prior to

5

August 12, 1999 (i.e., the § 102(e) date of Hawkins) coupled with due diligence from prior to

August 12, 1999 to a subsequent reduction to practice. As such, the invention was also

conceived prior to September 9, 1999 (i.e., the § 102(e) date of McConnell) coupled with due

diligence from prior to September 9, 1999 to a subsequent reduction to practice. Accordingly,

the rejection of all pending claims 1-7 based upon McConnell or Hawkins should be withdrawn.

Summary

Applicants respectively submit that in view of the amendments and remarks above, all of

the pending claims 1-7 are in condition for allowance and such action is respectively requested.

The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (312) 913-0001 with any questions or

comments.

Respectfully submitted,

McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff LLP

9/16/04

By:

oseph A. Herndor

Reg. No. 50,469

6